IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

LARRY A. RENFROE,

Case No. 2:15-cv-2219-JE

Plaintiff,

ORDER

v.

NANCY A. BERRYHILL,

Commissioner of Social Security,

Defendant.

Michael H. Simon, District Judge.

United States Magistrate Judge John Jelderks issued Findings and Recommendation in this case on August 31, 2017. ECF 23. Judge Jelderks recommended that the decision of the Commissioner of Social Security ("Commissioner") be reversed and remanded for further proceedings. No party has filed objections.

Under the Federal Magistrates Act ("Act"), the court may "accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). If a party files objections to a magistrate's findings and recommendations, "the court shall make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made." *Id.*; Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3).

If no party objects, the Act does not prescribe any standard of review. See Thomas v. Arn,

474 U.S. 140, 152 (1985) ("There is no indication that Congress, in enacting [the Act], intended

to require a district judge to review a magistrate's report to which no objections are filed.");

United States. v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc) (holding that the

court must review de novo magistrate's findings and recommendations if objection is made, "but

not otherwise").

Although review is not required in the absence of objections, the Act "does not preclude

further review by the district judge[] sua sponte . . . under a de novo or any other standard."

Thomas, 474 U.S. at 154. Indeed, the Advisory Committee Notes to Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)

recommend that "[w]hen no timely objection is filed," the court review the magistrate's findings

and recommendations for "clear error on the face of the record."

No party having made objections, this Court follows the recommendation of the Advisory

Committee and reviews Judge Jelderk's Findings and Recommendation for clear error on the

face of the record. No such error is apparent. Accordingly, the Court **ADOPTS** Judge Jelderk's

Findings and Recommendation, ECF 23. The Commissioner's decision that Plaintiff is not

disabled is REVERSED, and this case is REMANDED for further proceedings.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 18th day of September, 2017.

/s/ Michael H. Simon

Michael H. Simon

United States District Judge

PAGE 2 – ORDER